

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Donna Hawkins Brown, |) | C/A No. 3:11-121-CMC-JRM |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | REPORT AND |
| vs. |) | RECOMMENDATION |
| |) | |
| EBY Brown, LLC; and South Carolina Department of |) | |
| Employment and Workforce, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| |) | |

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed an employment discrimination action. By Order dated February 18, 2011, Plaintiff was given an opportunity to provide the necessary information and paperwork to bring the case into proper form for evaluation and possible service of process. The deadline to comply with the Court's Order was March 14, 2011. Plaintiff was warned that failure to provide the necessary information within the timetable set in the Order would subject the case to dismissal. Plaintiff did not respond to the order and the time for response has lapsed. Plaintiff has failed to prosecute this case and has failed to comply with an Order of this Court. This case should be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

See Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962).

RECOMMENDATION

Accordingly, it is recommended that the District Judge dismiss the complaint *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process. **Plaintiff's attention is directed to the important notice on the next page.**



April 4, 2011
Columbia, South Carolina

Joseph R. McCrorey
United States Magistrate Judge

Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation

The parties are advised that they may file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation with the District Judge. Objections must specifically identify the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

Specific written objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (d). Filing by mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5 may be accomplished by mailing objections to:

Larry W. Propes, Clerk
United States District Court
901 Richland Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Failure to timely file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of the District Court based upon such Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).